





1. Please confirm that you are evaluating module EC4051, Economics of Financial Markets, Dr. Karol Borowiecki

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		100.0%	9
No		0.0%	0
answered question			9
skipped question			0

2. Why did you enrol for this module?

		Response Percent	Response Count
It was compulsory		0.0%	0
It was my choice		100.0%	9
answered question			9
skipped question			0

3. Of the lectures held so far, what percentage of this module have you attended?

		Response Percent	Response Count
10%		0.0%	0
11-25%		0.0%	0
26-50%		0.0%	0
51-75%		0.0%	0
76-90%		22.2%	2
>90%		77.8%	7

If you attended less than 90% of the lectures, please explain why.

1

answered question

9

skipped question

0

4. Please select the rating that best applies to each of the following questions.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Rating Average	Response Count
A. The objectives of this module were communicated to me very clearly:	75.0% (6)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.25	8
B. The module links into the overall programme very well:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
C. The various parts of this module (lectures and labs) were linked:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
D. This module was well organized:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
E. The feedback I received on course work for this module was very helpful:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
F. I found the recommended reading for this module very helpful:	75.0% (6)	12.5% (1)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
G. The material presented by the lecturer(s) has been very interesting:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
H. The lecturer was enthusiastic about the subject matter of the module:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
I. The lecturer stimulated me to think critically about the subject:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.63	8
J. The lecturer(s) communicated clearly and effectively:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.63	8
K. The lecturer(s) always encouraged me to contribute:	50.0% (4)	25.0% (2)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.75	8
answered question							8
skipped question							1

5. Q

	Very heavy	Heavy	Average	Light	Very Light	Rating Average	Response Count
Compared with other modules with the same credits, the workload was:	0.0% (0)	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (1)	2.63	8
answered question							8
skipped question							1

6. Q

	All	Most	About half	Little	Very little	Rating Average	Response Count
Of the material I have covered in this module, I have understood:	50.0% (4)	50.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
answered question							8
skipped question							1

7. Q

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very poor	Rating Average	Response Count
Overall, I found this module:	75.0% (6)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
answered question							8
skipped question							1

8. Please state up to 3 good things about this module

	Response Count
	5
answered question	5
skipped question	4

9. Please state up to 3 ways in which this module could be improved

	Response Count
	3
answered question	3
skipped question	6

10. Please select the rating that best applies to each of the following questions.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Rating Average	Response Count
A. The purpose of the computer labs was clearly explained to me:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
B. The computer lab time was used well:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
C. The problem sheets were helpful in preparing my project:	87.5% (7)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.13	8
D. The lecturer was helpful in response to my questions:	75.0% (6)	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.25	8
E. The lecturer stimulated me to think critically about the subject:	62.5% (5)	25.0% (2)	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.50	8
F. The computer labs provided good support for the lectures:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
G. The computer labs assisted my learning in this subject:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
						answered question	8
						skipped question	1

11. Q

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very poor	Rating Average	Response Count
Overall, I found the computer labs:	62.5% (5)	37.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.38	8
					Further comments:		1
					answered question		8
					skipped question		1

12. Please state up to 3 good things about the computer labs

	Response Count	
	2	
	answered question	2
	skipped question	7

13. Please state up to 3 areas where the computer labs could be improved

	Response Count	
	2	
	answered question	2
	skipped question	7

14. If you have any additional comments on your learning experience in relation to this module, please enter them here

	Response Count	
	2	
	answered question	2
	skipped question	7

Page 1, Q3. Of the lectures held so far, what percentage of this module have you attended?

1	NA	Mar 15, 2012 12:03 AM
---	----	-----------------------

Page 2, Q8. Please state up to 3 good things about this module

1	Karol is a phenomenal lecturer. He is interested in the subject matter, his lectures are well structured and thought-provoking. He is rigorous in his explanations and communicates very clearly to the class. He adds focus to the lectures by clearly stating the objectives of the class, and often recaps what has been done thus far and what is coming up. This provides direction and clarity as one enters into a study of the subject matter. All in, great. [The lectures are similar to Schiller's online Yale lectures in that he provides real life examples and, where relevant, mentions present day issues.]	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
2	All topics were explained clearly, lecturer was very approachable and helpful if you needed anything explained or help with any particular part of the course. The labs were excellent preparation for the term project	Mar 14, 2012 5:22 PM
3	the lecturer	Mar 12, 2012 10:49 PM
4	Linking of labs and lectures has been excellent. Material has been clearly presented.	Mar 12, 2012 9:08 PM
5	The lecturer is really enthusiastic, approachable, and helpful.	Mar 12, 2012 6:09 PM

Page 2, Q9. Please state up to 3 ways in which this module could be improved

1	Could not be improved upon.	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
2	Increasing workload. Covering different topics to the first term.	Mar 13, 2012 12:39 PM
3	For students who have studied econometrics in 3rd year. The project is almost identical. Less focus on econometrics would be better.	Mar 12, 2012 10:49 PM

Page 3, Q11. Q

1	NA	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
---	----	-----------------------

Page 3, Q12. Please state up to 3 good things about the computer labs

1	As above.	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
2	They were excellent preparation for the term project, everything was clearly	Mar 14, 2012 5:23 PM

Page 3, Q12. Please state up to 3 good things about the computer labs

explained

Page 3, Q13. Please state up to 3 areas where the computer labs could be improved

1	NA	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
2	For previous students of econometrics, the labs were somewhat basic, and the need to submit via webCT is unnecessary	Mar 12, 2012 10:50 PM

Page 3, Q14. If you have any additional comments on your learning experience in relation to this module, please enter them here

1	NA	Mar 15, 2012 12:12 AM
2	Excellent module	Mar 14, 2012 5:23 PM