


**TUTORIAL EVALUATION
INTERMEDIATE ECONOMICS MODULE
(EC 2010)**

Trinity College Dublin
Michaelmas Term 2009
Teaching time: 10 weeks

Teaching Assistant: Karol Jan Borowiecki
Sample Size: 80 students¹


¹ Questionnaires were distributed to and collected from all students who attended the tutorials in the last week of MT.

This TA explained the purpose of these tutorials:




Average: 4.51 /5

This TA organised tutorial time:




Average: 4.54 /5

This TA encouraged me to contribute:




Average: 4.29 /5

This TA has been helpful in response to questions:




Average: 4.53 /5

This TA stimulated me to think critically about the subject matter:




Average: 4.06 /5

I felt enthusiastic about attending tutorials:




Average: 4.01 /5

TA's accessibility (e-mail contact, office hours) was:




Average: 4.63 /5

This tutorial provided good support for the lectures:




Average: 4.68 /5

Overall, I would rate my level of satisfaction with the tutorials as:



Average: 4.46 /5

Overall, I would rate my level of satisfaction with the TA as:



Score: 4.61 /5

OVERALL AVERAGE (10 questions, equally weighted): 4.43 /5

What did you most dislike about the tutorials?	# mention
time-slot (just after lecture, or 9am Fri)	14
sometimes didn't cover all questions	8
large group size	3
difficult material	3
group work	2
not enough time to listen and take down answers	2
low participation of class	2
hard to see screen/noise	2

What did you like most about the tutorials?	# mention
good, clear explanation	16
getting full answers for HW questions	10
clear, well organised presentations (good use of graphs)	9
good complement/extension for lecture	9
interactive tutorial (questions being encouraged)	8
TA's response to questions	7
tutorial helpful for exam revision/understanding of subject	7
tutors persona	5
(relaxed) working atmosphere	2
group work	2

Additional comments

"Distribute copy of (or upload) solutions" (or similar, 3 times mentioned)
 "very good course, very enjoyable",
 "Gave a bit more than just required answers",
 "Karol is , by far, my best tutor",
 "TA always prepared to answer any question no matter how minute",
 "Karol was always available to discuss any problems and made classes interesting & engaging",
 "great tutor", "cracking tutorial", "very nice guy", "Well constructed and explained answers to HW"

TUTORIAL QUESTIONNAIRE
 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMICS (EC 2010), MT 2009
 Teaching Assistant (TA) – Karol Borowiecki

1. Delivery of Teaching

This TA explained the purpose of these tutorials:	<i>Very clearly</i>						<i>Very unclearly</i>
This TA organised tutorial time:	<i>Very effectively</i>						<i>Very ineffectively</i>
This TA encouraged me to contribute:	<i>Always</i>						<i>Never</i>
This TA has been helpful in response to questions:	<i>Always</i>						<i>Never</i>
This TA stimulated me to think critically about the subject matter:	<i>Always</i>						<i>Never</i>
I felt enthusiastic about attending tutorials:	<i>Always</i>						<i>Never</i>
TA's accessibility (e-mail contact, office hours) was:	<i>Sufficient</i>						<i>Insufficient</i>
This tutorial provided good support for the lectures:	<i>Always</i>						<i>Never</i>
<i>Overall, I would rate my level of satisfaction with the tutorials as:</i>	<i>Very high</i>						<i>Very low</i>
<i>Overall, I would rate my level of satisfaction with the TA as:</i>	<i>Very high</i>						<i>Very low</i>

2. What did you most dislike about the tutorials? (please use back side if necessary)

.....

3. What did you like most about the tutorials? (please use back side if necessary)

.....

4. Additional comments (please use back side if necessary)

.....

Thank you very much for your valuable feedback!